

Implementation plan at a glance

Four prioritized implementation areas based on the audit findings.

Priority order

Start with machine-readable content and crawler policy, then strengthen structured entity context and citation readiness.

01 Machine-readable AI content

Deploy `/llms.txt` and review the expanded `/llms-full.txt` artifact.

`llms.txt` + `llms-full.txt`

02 Crawler policy

Standardize `robots.txt` using the generated policy artifacts.

`robots.txt` + `robots.ts`

03 Structured entity context

Embed the generated Schema.org `@graph` as the implementation baseline.

`schema.jsonld`

04 Citation readiness

Apply the first-citation enhancement guidance from the technical audit.

`AUDIT_REPORT.md`

IMPLEMENTATION SCOPE

Generated files are implementation starting points. Validate them in the target framework and deployment environment before release.

Technical ingestion and crawler policy

V1 is PARTIAL at 40/100. Synthetic accessibility is healthy, while machine-readable and crawler-policy readiness still have material gaps.

V1 - Technical ingestion / AI crawler layer

PARTIAL

Score: 40/100

- CRITICAL

//lms.txt not found
Artifact: artifacts//lms.txt
- MEDIUM

//lms-full.txt not found
Artifact: artifacts//lms-full.txt
- LOW

Pretraining bots not explicitly blocked
Policy: artifacts/robots.txt + robots.ts
- MEDIUM

Markdown content negotiation not supported
Patch: artifacts/markdown-middleware.ts

02 Synthetic accessibility

6 / 6 ACCESSIBLE

Browser control and all five modeled crawler identities returned HTTP 200

Browser control	200	OAI-SearchBot	200
Claude-SearchBot	200	PerplexityBot	200
Bingbot	200	Googlebot	200

V1 remains PARTIAL because readiness gaps remain outside synthetic accessibility.

Structured context and implementation artifacts

V2 is PARTIAL at 60/100. The bundle includes a structured-data baseline plus supporting implementation files.

V2 - Context / Knowledge Graph

PARTIAL

Score: 60/100

IMPLEMENTATION DIRECTION

Use an interconnected Schema.org @graph with verified dateModified timestamps and linked Organization nodes. The generated schema.jsonld file is the implementation starting point.

02 Implementation artifacts

What each delivered file is intended to solve

ARTIFACT	PURPOSE / PROBLEM ADDRESSED
llms.txt	Concise machine-readable site summary for AI-oriented retrieval
llms-full.txt	Expanded machine-readable representation of site content
robots.txt	Explicit crawler policy at the site edge
robots.ts	Application-level crawler policy implementation
schema.jsonld	Structured entity and relationship context
markdown-middleware.ts	Machine-readable Markdown content negotiation

Deploy only after framework-level validation and review of the target environment.

Content alignment and factual representation

Provider responses showed low lexical overlap with the selected site evidence, and none of the seven decisive claims were supported in this run.

V4 - CONTENT ALIGNMENT

0.0302

Average ROUGE-L - FAIL

V5 - FACTUAL REPRESENTATION

0 / 7

Supported decisive claims - FAIL

01 What the evidence shows

Content alignment

Average ROUGE-L was 0.0302 under the audit policy, indicating low lexical overlap with the selected site evidence.

Factual support

Seven of 19 extracted claims were decisive; 0 of 7 were supported. Verification coverage was 36.8%.

Unsupported claims

Unsupported is not the same as contradicted; the required support was not found in the selected evidence.

Citation evidence

UNMEASURED in this run; no usable native citation/search evidence was observed.

PRIORITY 4

Improve first-citation readiness using the technical guidance in AUDIT_REPORT.md.

Evidence availability and delivery map

Three evidence classes were not established in this run. The delivery map below points each implementation question to the relevant file.

01 Evidence availability

EVIDENCE CLASS	STATE	OBSERVED RESULT
Citation/search evidence	UNMEASURED	No usable native evidence
Competitor surfacing	UNAVAILABLE	No validated comparative evidence
Downstream actionability	UNMEASURED	Not established

02 Delivery map

Use the file that matches the implementation or evidence question

QUESTION / USE	FILE / PATH
Executive overview	Executive GEO Summary
Full technical findings	AUDIT_REPORT.md
Evidence & provenance	EVIDENCE_MANIFEST.json
Provider observations / claims	data/evaluations_raw.json
Visibility aggregation	data/somv_matrix.json
Implementation files	artifacts/

HANDOFF

Decision-makers: Executive Summary. Technical review: this brief + AUDIT_REPORT.md. Implementation: artifacts/.